## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**FILED**04/21/23
04:59 PM
C2111016

ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE W. ANTHONY COLBERT and ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE HAZLYN FORTUNE, co-presiding

Dennis Reis and Deborah Reis,	)	STATUS
Complainants	)	CONFERENCE
vs.	)	
Sonoma Marin Area Rail Transit District (SMART),	)	Case
Defendant	) )	21-11-016

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
Virtual Proceeding
February 23, 2023
Pages 1 - 34
Volume 1

1	VIRTUAL PROCEEDING
2	FEBRUARY 23, 2023 - 10:05 A.M.
3	* * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE FORTUNE: We will be
5	on the record. I acknowledge that we are starting this
6	hearing at 10:06 p.m I'm sorry, excuse me a.m.
7	My name is Hazlyn Fortune. I'm the assigned
8	administrative law judge for complaint, C.21-11-016.
9	Mr. and Mrs. Reis versus Sonoma Marin Area Rapid Transit
10	or SMART.
11	It is February 23rd at 10:06 a.m., and this is
12	the time and place for status conference for this
13	proceeding.
14	I will first go over some ground rules.
15	Because this hearing is being conducted virtually and
16	being recorded by a reporter, it is critical that we all
17	speak slowly and clearly. Do not speak unless I address
18	you. I may repeat questions to you individually so that
19	the court reporter can accurately capture your
20	statements. Avoid speaking over each other.
21	If possible, please use headphones or earbuds
22	to ensure the best audio quality. Do not use
23	speakerphone audio. Please mute your audio unless you
24	are speaking. Please do not speak over each other.
25	Identify yourself the first time you speak by

1	stating your full name, and then spelling your last
2	name.
3	I will take care of attendances right now.
4	Ms. Sutherland, would you please introduce yourself and
5	let us know what you are representing today?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, good morning. Jessica
7	Sutherland, I represent SMART.
8	ALJ FORTUNE: Thank you.
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Thank you. And I am with
10	Bill Gamlen today, your Honor.
11	ALJ FORTUNE: Thank you.
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Chief engineer sorry to
13	speak over you.
14	ALJ FORTUNE: It's okay, go ahead.
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Chief engineer for SMART.
16	ALJ FORTUNE: Thank you.
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Thank you.
18	ALJ FORTUNE: Mr. Ripley, would you please
19	introduce yourself and let us know who you're
20	representing?
21	MR. RIPLEY: Yes. This is Reed Ripley,
22	attorney on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Reis.
23	ALJ FORTUNE: Thank you. Well, let's begin our
24	discussion.
25	We are here today to discuss the next steps for

1	this proceeding. I want to emphasize that the
2	Commission is definitely committed and will be
3	proceeding ahead and moving forward with this complaint.
4	Later on, I will be sharing a proposal that
5	will guide our later discussions. The current status of
6	this proceeding is that it essentially, it is
7	suspended pursuant to SMART's decision not to comply
8	with the July 8, 2022, ALJ ruling directing it to file
9	an application for a private crossing as one pathway to
10	resolve the incident complaint.
11	I have developed a proposal to move this
12	proceeding forward with input from the Rail Safety
13	Division, ALJ management and AC Colbert.
14	I have extended the statutory deadline for this
15	proceeding to allow sufficient time for a reasonable
16	resolution that supports a final decision. The revised
17	statutory deadline for this proceeding is now
18	October 2, 2023. This statutory deadline may be further
19	extended as needed to support the activities within this
20	complaint.
21	On June 13, 2022, the Reises filed a PHC
22	statement with exhibits. Included in the exhibits
23	accompanying the PHC statement was an internal SMART
24	developed five-stage private crossing application
25	process. The states include, number one, application;

number two, preliminary analysis; number three,
diagnostic review; number four, license agreement and
issuance of license; and number five, construction and
opening.

After consulting with AC Colbert and the Rail Safety Division Management, this is the proposal that we are going to move forward with: I will vacate the ruling directing SMART to file an application for a private crossing for this complaint. I will not dismiss this complaint, but instead will develop a schedule to follow SMART's private crossing application process.

I will be directing SMART to file a report with the Commission at the completion of each stage of its own application process. If additional time is needed for any stage, SMART will make a request with supporting documentation for an extension of the schedule that we will develop today. It is appropriate that the Commission's Rail Safety Division staff be involved in stage 3 of SMART's private crossing application process to ensure that appropriate safety measures are included for the design of any crossing.

After all stages of SMART's private application process are completed, a decision will be rendered. If parties are unable to come to an agreement at any stage of the process, I strongly recommend that they

1	participate in the Commission's ADR or Alternative
2	Resolution Process as appropriate.
3	During a previous status conference, I asked
4	SMART's engineering staff member about the potential
5	timeline for each stage of its private crossing
6	application process.
7	Before we work on a schedule, what questions
8	does do anyone have?
9	Mr. Ripley, do you have any questions so far?
10	MR. RIPLEY: Sorry, your Honor, I was on mute.
11	None at this time.
12	ALJ FORTUNE: Great.
13	Ms. Sutherland, do you have any questions at
14	this time?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: None at this time, your Honor,
16	just still listening.
17	ALJ FORTUNE: Great. All right.
18	Ms. Sutherland, you mentioned that you have a
19	representative from your engineering staff ready to
20	address questions about the application process. Is
21	that person ready to to speak now?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Sure. I have Mr. Gamlen with
23	me now.
24	ALJ FORTUNE: Mr. Gamlen, please say your full
25	name and spell your last name.

1	AC ALJ COLBERT: Your Honor?
2	ALJ FORTUNE: Yes?
3	AC ALJ COLBERT: This is Assistant Chief
4	Colbert. Mr. Ripley, do you have a camera?
5	MR. RIPLEY: I do, your Honor. I asked for the
6	panelist password right right before we started on
7	the record and I never received that, so that's why I am
8	working on the telephone.
9	AC ALJ COLBERT: The I thought you were
10	upgraded to panelist, so your camera should be able to
11	access.
12	MR. RIPLEY: Yeah, I tried to use my calendar
13	link, and it was not following through. It was asking
14	me for a panelist password, and my understanding was
15	that was 2023 based off of the e-mails, and that was not
16	working.
17	ALJ FORTUNE: Why don't we go off the record to
18	resolve the video issues?
19	(Off the record.)
20	ALJ FORTUNE: While we were off the record, we
21	took care of some IT issues for one of our participants,
22	and now we will move ahead.
23	I believe that what we're going to do right now
24	is go off the record right now to collect information to
25	develop a schedule for moving ahead with SMART's private

Τ	crossing application process.
2	We will be off the record.
3	(Off the record.)
4	ALJ FORTUNE: So, please explain a bit about
5	stage 2. I had mentioned while we were off the record
6	that the simple crossing will take two to three weeks if
7	no telemetry was needed and asked you to confirm that
8	timeline. Please do so now.
9	MR. GAMLEN: Okay. For the record, I would
10	like to start by saying I didn't really come prepared to
11	discuss a timeline today, but I am happy to do my best
12	to answer your questions and provide that information.
13	We have received the application. The
14	application the uses identified in the application
15	are very broad, so I think as part of the stage 2,
16	preliminary analysis, we will have to dig into those
17	uses and better understand the desired use of the
18	crosses, which will shape some of the solutions or
19	protections that could be put in place for a crossing at
20	that location.
21	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. The complicated
22	crossing, you said that that could take four weeks if
23	telemetry is needed, if there was an active warning
24	device needed. Is that still true?
25	MR. GAMLEN: You know, I'd qualify that

1	response in the sense that there's a lot of third-party
2	dependencies as the crossings become more complicated.
3	So, there are things like, perhaps, PG&E service for a
4	crossing like that. There may be access questions that
5	may require easements to be able to maintain the
6	crossing and things like that, so putting timeframes on
7	that is challenging.
8	If everything lined up, yes, perhaps that
9	timeframe could be achieved.
10	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. Since there there
11	are two phases to this stage, why don't we look at what
12	a reasonable timeline will be because this is the stage
13	that you will be going into.
14	So, if you think four weeks would be needed for
15	a more complicated issue, if it looks like it would be a
16	simpler crossing then that time would be shortened and
17	you can identify that and report out on it.
18	So, for stage 2, you know, we're working back
19	from let's look at the a calendar.
20	Today is the 23rd, and I when you know,
21	this is something that we want to evolve and launch.
22	So, if we're looking at, say, a March 1st start for
23	phase 2 or stage 2, what would be a date by when that
24	should be completed?
25	MR. GAMLEN: I would suggest we put a time

1	range on phase 2, the preliminary analysis.
2	ALJ FORTUNE: Sure.
3	MR. GAMLEN: Maybe from one month to six
4	months.
5	ALJ FORTUNE: One month to six months, that
6	seems a bit long. I mean, we have got until October
7	the current statutory deadline for this is October 2nd,
8	and you just stated that it would be four weeks or maybe
9	a little bit more than that, so six months is a bit much
10	for unless there is something I am not understanding.
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, can I ask a
12	question at this juncture?
13	ALJ FORTUNE: Please identify yourself for the
14	court reporter's benefit.
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Jessica Sutherland for SMART.
16	ALJ FORTUNE: Are you
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: May ask a question.
18	ALJ FORTUNE: Yes, please do.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: So, this is SMART's voluntary
20	application process, and it does involve sort of a back
21	and forth with the complainants; and so, some of it is
22	not entirely within our control correct on timelines.
23	This is also the first application, I think we mentioned
24	last time, so we are going with estimates; and so, that
25	is difficult.

1	I also is today's proposal something that
2	we're going to be able to come back after today and
3	and respond to or we weren't aware that we that a
4	proposal such as this was going to be made today, so I
5	am just curious how it plays out after today?
6	ALJ FORTUNE: Well, after today, after the
7	conclusion of this hearing, the former ruling would be
8	vacated; that is the next thing that would go out. And
9	then we would have a range that what we are
10	developing right now for each stage.
11	If additional time is needed, you make a
12	request to say, hey, it looks like we need another
13	month, but to it just seems the if we want to stay
14	close to the statutory deadline of October 1, which can
15	be extended if needed, but I would like to have us just
16	be reasonable for each stage and put a stage that is
17	workable; and then, if additional time is needed, it's
18	requested. If things happen in a shorter period, it's
19	reported out.
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. Yes. I guess, I'm I
21	am sort of concerned with sort of sort of how this
22	plays out, what kind of precedent it sets, and given
23	that this is a voluntary process, I am still unsure
24	you know, SMART doesn't believe that any allegations
25	have been made that would sort of render a jurisdiction

1	under a complaint; and so
2	AC ALJ COLBERT: Your Honor?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: I
4	AC ALJ COLBERT: This is Assistant Chief
5	Colbert.
6	ALJ FORTUNE: Go ahead, Assistant Chief.
7	AC ALJ COLBERT: Ms. Sutherland, you keep
8	saying, "this is voluntary."
9	So, are you saying that SMART can say we are
10	not going to cooperate. We are not going to help build
11	this crossing and just walk away and ignore what the
12	Commission is saying?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, that is absolutely
14	not what I am saying. It's the the property owner,
15	as we stated in the past, can avail themselves of the
16	application process; and there's also an application
17	petition process via 75-D General Order and 7537 where
18	they can petition the CPUC; and so, the intention and
19	the spirit of the SMART's application process is not
20	that it runs concurrent with the complaint proceeding.
21	It is so that the private property owner and SMART can
22	try to work towards a an agreement together.
23	AC ALJ COLBERT: But you keep using the word,
24	"voluntary."
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: The private property owner can

voluntarily apply if -- should they want to seek an 1 agreement with SMART. 2 3 AC ALJ COLBERT: You seem to be using voluntary in the context of what SMART is doing. That SMART is 4 5 voluntarily doing this process. 6 MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor --7 AC ALJ COLBERT: At the same time, you've indicated that this is the first time that SMART has 8 9 implemented their existing process, which I assume is a 10 process that you all have put together to address these 11 kinds of issues. 12 So, enlighten me on what you're saying. 13 MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, for the record, your 14 Honor, I am not sure -- I am not sure -- I am responding 15 to two judges. I am not sure what your -- Your Honor's role is in this matter. The last time I had asked some 16 17 of your --AC ALJ COLBERT: Ms. Sutherland, I am the 18 19 Assistant Chief Judge. I oversee all complaints and all 20 transportation matters for the Public Utilities 21 Commission. 22 MS. SUTHERLAND: Sure, your Honor, and I -- I 23 just -- I believe I responded clearly to your question, 24 and I am not saying that SMART can voluntarily 25 participate. What I am saying is this is a process for

1 a private property owner to avail themselves. They do 2 not need to file a complaint to do that. 3 It seems that filing a complaint was a workaround working with SMART and potentially having to 4 5 take some of the financial responsibility and, instead, they used this sort of litigation to file a complaint. I am still unclear why our process is in this complaint 7 8 proceeding, and I am seeking some clarity on -- on that. 9 AC ALJ COLBERT: Has this process been used 10 before that you have set out -- that you're setting out? MS. SUTHERLAND: Not with -- not at this time, 11 12 your Honor, no. 13 AC ALJ COLBERT: So, this is the first time the process has been used? When the initial applica -- when 14 15 the initial complaint was filed and Judge Fortune was 16 assigned to this, SMART every step of the way, from my 17 understanding of it, has -- has not been cooperative; and at some point, SMART said, "Well, we do have a 18 19 process. This is the process, and the -- and the 20 complainant hasn't availed themselves of the process, so 21 we don't understand why the complainant is even filing 22 the complaint because we have this existing process," 23 which you just acknowledged has never been used before. 24 MS. SUTHERLAND: So, your Honor, I would 25 like --

1	AC ALJ COLBERT: So, in tone in tone and
2	approach, yes, I am showing concern because this has
3	been going on for an extended period of time. I oversee
4	everything that your company does in these matters, and
5	I have found you uncooperative.
6	Do you understand my point now?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, I would like to
8	strike that from the record, and I object to that. I
9	don't know when SMART has been uncooperative one time
10	during this proceeding. I I don't know when you
11	found us to be uncooperative.
12	AC ALJ COLBERT: When did you raise the
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm not
14	AC ALJ COLBERT: issue that you had a
15	process to address these issues which has never been
16	used before?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, we have been
18	reaching out to the plaintiff since, I believe, February
19	of 2022 to file our application. We worked with them.
20	We we scheduled a meeting with them from May 2022 at
21	their request; they canceled it. Turned in an
22	application that was incomplete, we promptly wrote back
23	and asked them to complete it. I wrote them this
24	October and this December asking if they would like to
25	proceed with our internal process; they declined.

1	At this juncture, I am not
2	AC ALJ COLBERT: This complaint was filed
3	this complaint was filed in 2021.
4	When did you when did SMART say that you had
5	a process to address this issue?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, I don't
7	ALJ FORTUNE: Actually
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: know that
9	ALJ FORTUNE: SMART did actually this is
10	ALJ Fortune speaking.
11	SMART actually did not declaratively state that
12	they had an internal process for a private application.
13	It was it was discovered by the plaintiff's
14	representatives, and the the plaintiffs availed
15	themselves, and this was made apparent at the
16	June 13, 2022, PHC statement that the Reises had filed
17	and they attached a copy of the application; and that's
18	when it was made aware to me that there was a a an
19	internal process.
20	AC ALJ COLBERT: Thank you, Judge Fortune.
21	So, Ms. Sutherland, from my perspective, it is
22	a mischaracterization to say that SMART has, from the
23	beginning of this process, this complaint, tried to
24	resolve it and tried to point out that there was an
25	internal process that the plaintiffs could have availed

1	themselves of.
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor
3	AC ALJ COLBERT: That is not accurate.
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, I am just not
5	aware of any requirement that SMART had to have an
6	internal process. I think it's a good idea that we have
7	one.
8	AC ALJ COLBERT: Counsel, you didn't
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: And I also
10	AC ALJ COLBERT: say that SMART had to have
11	an internal process. One of the arguments that you're
12	making now is that you do have an internal process; and
13	since you do have an internal process, there was no
14	reason for the complainant to move forward with this
15	complaint. It's unnecessary because you have an
16	internal process.
17	Now, you have just
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor
19	AC ALJ COLBERT: said that you don't have to
20	have an internal process. So, the point I am
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor
22	AC ALJ COLBERT: making and we can go
23	back and forth about this, and if you think that's
24	beneficial to you, that's fine.
25	The point I am making, and my initial point,

which you sought to strike, which is denied, is that I 1 said SMART has not been consistent and accurate and 2 3 cooperative in trying to resolve this; and this is what 4 we are trying to do. 5 MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, I don't believe --I believe initially in our answer, we said they could 6 7 apply to SMART, and we also said that they could apply 8 to the PUC; and so, I -- I am at a loss of how SMART has 9 been uncooperative. We, again, have reached out to the complainant several times about whether they want to 10 proceed with our process, and --11 12 ALJ FORTUNE: Well, let's get to the truth of 13 the matter that -- because that was not my understanding. This is ALJ Fortune speaking. 14 15 As I stated earlier, all the activity in this 16 complaint has been suspended. The proposal vacates a 17 direction to SMART, which they -- which you all have 18 said was inappropriate with many citations as to why you 19 should not be directed to file an application. That is 20 one path to resolve this. And after consulting with 21 internal staff and AC Colbert, we have decided to vacate 22 that so that we can use a process that you developed in 23 the context of this complaint to move ahead. 24 So, all we're doing right now is establishing a 25 schedule, because dismissing the complaint is not an

option. We are moving ahead. We are moving ahead, and 1 you have developed this process, so we're -- we want to 2 3 establish clear direction to you and the complainant for the timeframe to follow your process. That is all that 4 5 is happening here. 6 MS. SUTHERLAND: Thank you, your Honor. 7 ALJ FORTUNE: All right. I would like to hear 8 from the -- the representative for Mr. and Mrs. Reis, 9 because I was unaware that -- that you, SMART, had 10 reached out to them, and that your -- to -- to move ahead. Because right now, nothing was happening, 11 12 nothing was communicated to me or filed with me. So, 13 I -- I -- I don't -- you know, I think we should just 14 move on, and moving on means following a process that 15 you developed. 16 So, where we are right now is talking about 17 stage 2, and I would like to reconsider six months for that stage. We don't want this to be a long process. 18 19 Neither, in my opinion, yourselves or the 20 applicants had enough information when this complaint 21 was filed. Moving ahead with your process and the 22 preliminary analysis will let us know what is needed for 23 this crossing. So, six months for stage 2 seems a bit 24 long, so let's talk about that. 25 MR. GAMLEN: Perhaps, we could budget -- sorry,

1	this is Bill Gamlen, Chief Engineer with SMART.
2	Perhaps we could budget for some intermediate
3	steps, and what I mean by that is when we go back with
4	the application, not having a good detailed
5	understanding of specifics of how this crossing is
6	envisioned to be used, the applicant may need to develop
7	some plans, develop some details, and that may take them
8	some time, and I'm I can't control that part of the
9	process. So, that would be, like, an intermediate step
10	for them to come up with more detailed plans, perhaps
11	engineering drawings, sketches, something to convey the
12	details of what they would like to do at this location.
13	ALJ FORTUNE: I believe
14	MR. GAMLEN: So, that's why I am
15	ALJ FORTUNE: Go ahead. Mr. Gamlen, first of
16	all, please spell your last name.
17	MR. GAMLEN: G-a-m-l-e-n.
18	ALJ FORTUNE: Great, thank you. I believe that
19	with the prehearing conference that happened earlier in
20	2022, materials were submitted about proposed exact
21	proposed locations, and I will have Mr. Ripley respond
22	to that. I just wanted to confirm that that was so.
23	MR. RIPLEY: Yes, your Honor. This is Reed
24	Ripley for the Reises. We it's perfectly reasonable
25	to point out that there might need to be some more

detail as far as exactly what kind of crossing.
I will note that in that information that we
provided, we did suggest a type of crossing that might
be reasonable, but if there are more details that need
to get sorted out, we are perfectly happy to have those
conversations. Those are the kind of conversations we
have been looking for for a very long time.
So, if we need to provide additional
information, we will readily do that and do it promptly
according to whatever schedule we agree to.
ALJ FORTUNE: All right. So, I will go back to
Mr. Gamlen. So, stage 2, why don't you start with what
you think would be needed? So, you think that there
will be a back and forth between yourself and the Reises
to get specific details, engineering details about this
crossing? I mean, we just want to or I would like to
establish a reasonable timeframe for that particular
back and forth for this stage.
MR. GAMLEN: Yes, your Honor. Bill Gamlen with
SMART.
From the description in the application, it
sounds like this crossing will function similar to a
sounds like this crossing will function similar to a public crossing.

1	will probably use this crossing in different
2	applications in different size groups. So, it's
3	starting to appear that it may need to be a fully active
4	crossing.
5	ALJ FORTUNE: Okay.
6	MR. GAMLEN: If we get into fully active
7	crossings, we are probably going to have to get
8	engineering design done, some survey done, figure out
9	how power is going to get to that location. There's a
10	lot of detailed work that is going to have to happen.
11	That takes time, and the Reises will have to budget for
12	that time, so I am hesitant to commit to how long this
13	process can take if I am
14	ALJ FORTUNE: Right.
15	MR. GAMLEN: not controlling those steps.
16	ALJ FORTUNE: I got I got it. But as I said
17	before, we are setting some ranges, which is what you
18	suggested we do, correct?
19	MR. GAMLEN: Correct.
20	ALJ FORTUNE: I am setting some ranges, and if
21	that range needs to be extended for any reason, then
22	that range can be extended.
23	So, let's set a
24	MR. GAMLEN: Okay.
25	ALJ FORTUNE: range for step 2.

1	MR. GAMLEN: I believe I proposed one to
2	six months. You had indicated six months was
3	unacceptable. So, one to five months?
4	ALJ FORTUNE: Well, I said that because when I
5	we spoke earlier, you had said four weeks, so I am
6	just trying to understand. I realize that there will be
7	back and forth.
8	So, if if you think six months is a is a
9	reasonable range and you get done in two months, then we
10	would move to the next stage, right, is that that's
11	what that is what I am envisioning.
12	MR. GAMLEN: Correct, absolutely.
13	ALJ FORTUNE: Okay.
14	MR. GAMLEN: And I guess we can put a shorter
15	timeframe with the understanding
16	ALJ FORTUNE: That it can be extended.
17	MR. GAMLEN: Right. And I guess we are going
18	to be doing status reports. So, in that status
19	ALJ FORTUNE: Correct.
20	MR. GAMLEN: report, we can say, "This has
21	been completed. This is the next step. This is what
22	has to happen. We are waiting on that information."
23	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. So, for stage 2, we
24	can reduce to one to three months, and then extend if
25	needed?

1 MR. GAMLEN: Sure. ALJ FORTUNE: All right. Let me -- thank you 2 3 so much Mr. -- excuse me, I am spacing -- Gamlen for --4 for letting us know that. 5 Mr. Ripley, please let me know if that is 6 acceptable or -- you know, I -- I expect that the Reises will be forthcoming in giving any information needed for 8 this stage, so that it can be completed in a timely 9 manner. 10 Please let me know if you agree one to three months for this stage 2 and extension if needed? 11 12 MR. RIPLEY: Yes, your Honor. Reed Ripley on 13 behalf of the Reises here. 14 It sounds like -- so, the original -- we talked 15 about four weeks in the last status conference and --16 and as far as the timeframe of the most complicated 17 crossing here. So, it sounds like any additional time 18 we are talking about, the increased range would be the time that it stakes the Reises to provide this 19 20 information. I don't see how that is going to be an 21 additional two months to get all the way from one to 22 three months, so I think we would prefer to have 23 something like one to two months, and if we get through 24 that process and it is something that maybe the Reises 25 are still sorting out, they need to find some details as

far as what specific uses -- and that is on them -- that 1 2 will be in the report, and we can extend it at that 3 point. But we -- I don't think we would like to extend this one to three months. That a little too long of a 4 5 range there. 6 ALJ FORTUNE: Thank you, Mr. Ripley. We will 7 go back to representative for SMART. 8 Ms. Sutherland, would you be able to accept one 9 to two months for this stage with extension if needed? 10 MS. SUTHERLAND: Your Honor, that sounds reasonable. I -- I just defer to Mr. Gamlen, but that 11 12 sounds reasonable to me. ALJ FORTUNE: All right --13 MR. GAMLEN: Sure, that's fine. 14 15 ALJ FORTUNE: -- Mr. Gamlen? 16 MR. GAMLEN: Yes, that's fine. 17 ALJ FORTUNE: That's fine? Okay. So, let's move on to the diagnostic review 18 stage. Before, I think a month was what was estimated 19 20 for this stage. 21 Mr. Gamlen, does that seem reasonable? I --22 and I say that, because RSD staff would like to be 23 involved with any back and forth between yourselves and 24 the Reises regarding this issue or this stage. 25 So, please explain to me a little bit about

1	what happens in stage 3, which is diagnostic review, and
2	what you think is a reasonable time for this stage?
3	MR. GAMLEN: The diagnostic review is when we
4	father all the parties, we typically use it in a public
5	crossing application where we would have representatives
6	from the city a traffic engineer, a public works
7	representative, sometimes a public safety officer,
8	representatives of the Rail Safety Division and
9	representatives of the railroad. We all look at the
10	crossing and discuss the appropriate safety treatments.
11	We're proposing to apply this in our private
12	crossing application to a private crossing, so I think
13	we would invite the CPUC, the Rail Safety Division,
14	because we work closely with them throughout matters on
15	the railroad
16	ALJ FORTUNE: Right.
17	MR. GAMLEN: so, we would include the Rail
18	Safety Division, the property owners so they can or
19	their representatives, so they can hear and discuss the
20	crossing firsthand and representatives of SMART.
21	So, really, it's just a matter of getting
22	everybody's schedules to align, so we can all have a
23	site visit to do that. So, I think a month is probably
24	sufficient for that stage.
25	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. Thank you so much,

1	Mr. Gamlen.
2	Mr. Ripley, would you please comment on whether
3	that timeline is reasonable for yourself and your
4	clients?
5	MR. RIPLEY: Reed Rip yeah, I am unmuted.
6	Reed Ripley here. Yes, that seems reasonable to me,
7	your Honor.
8	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. So, one month for
9	diagnostic review.
10	All right. We will move on to step 4,
11	licensing agreement.
12	Mr. Gamlen, would you speak to the needs in
13	terms of timing for this particular stage?
14	MR. GAMLEN: So, this would be the spot at
15	which we have agreed on the physical crossing
16	characteristics, the appropriate safety treatments, we
17	have engineering designs in place, we have agreements on
18	who is going to do what. So, really this is a matter of
19	finalizing the license agreement itself, so we would
20	have a draft that all parties have agreed on; and the
21	general manager would have to take it to our board for
22	approval.
23	Assuming that all those things are done and
24	it's really a matter of getting the board to approve an
25	agreement, probably a month is sufficient for that.

1	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. And and the the
2	understanding that I have is that if there are things
3	that come up through any of these stages by petition and
4	substantiation, the time can be extended, and you will
5	let us know what the time would be needed.
6	But be with that understanding, I will move
7	to Mr. Ripley. Mr. Ripley, Mr. Gamlen has represented
8	that one month would be sufficient for the licensing
9	agreement, with extension if needed, is that timeframe
10	acceptable or is that something that your clients can
11	work with?
12	MR. RIPLEY: Reed Ripley here. Yes, your
13	Honor, that is acceptable.
14	MR. GAMLEN: Your Honor, may I put a caveat on
15	that?
16	ALJ FORTUNE: Sure. Go ahead, Mr. Gamlen.
17	MR. GAMLEN: Our board meets once a month, the
18	third week of the month. So, depending on how the
19	when we agree on the agreement and when that aligns, it
20	could take a little bit longer than a month.
21	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. Do you want to say
22	one to three months for this
23	MR. GAMLEN: Yes, that would be acceptable.
24	ALJ FORTUNE: stage?
25	All right. Now, stage 5, Mr. Gamlen, would you

1	please describe what you think might be needed and what
2	timeframe would be reasonable?
3	MR. GAMLEN: It's really challenging at this
4	juncture to put a timeframe on construction, because we
5	really don't know what we're building at this time.
6	ALJ FORTUNE: Got it.
7	MR. GAMLEN: Could could we revisit that in
8	the future when we're farther along in the process?
9	ALJ FORTUNE: We definitely can. What would be
10	reasonable I mean, should we do that? Like, we can
11	set or a time to confer after the stage step 3 or
12	stage 3, the diagnostic review, because by then you
13	would have worked out I'm assuming the details and
14	needs for the and safety for the crossing; and
15	pending approval from your board and anything needed for
16	step 4, you would have a better estimate of what
17	timeframe stage 5 would take? Is that reasonable or
18	would you need to wait later?
19	MR. GAMLEN: I think that's reasonable, your
20	Honor.
21	ALJ FORTUNE: All right. So, we we can look
22	at setting a time after stage 3 to meet and determine
23	what would be a reasonable range of time for step 5.
24	Mr. Ripley, is that a reasonable or do do
25	your do you and your clients think that that would be

1	a reasonable approach?
2	MR. RIPLEY: Yes, your Honor, that sounds
3	reasonable.
4	ALJ FORTUNE: Okay. So, we we're looking at
5	maybe another status conference after stage 3 is done.
6	All right. So, to review, we have worked out a
7	schedule agreeable to both sides for the remaining
8	stages of SMART's internal private application process.
9	For stage 2, both sides have agreed to one to
10	two months for completing this stage, and now I'm
11	assuming that this the timing would start March 1.
12	So, previous to March 1, both sides can start talking
13	with each other about what needs to be submitted in
14	order to move quickly and efficiently through stage 2.
15	So, one to two months has been allotted for the time
16	with extension if needed.
17	For stage 3, diagnostic review, it's estimated
18	that one month would be needed for this stage, and it
19	can be extended if substantiated. And after stage 3,
20	there will be another status conference to assess the
21	construction needs and set a timeline for stage 5.
22	For stage 4, we are estimating one to
23	three months for licensing agreements and other related
24	activities, and that hopefully will take us to resolving
25	this complaint within this calendar year.

1	As I stated before, if things arise that need
2	resolution, the Commission has an Alternative Dispute
3	Resolution process that can be used to address any
4	issue.
5	I would like to give both sides time to respond
6	to all that we have discussed until now.
7	I will have Ms. Sutherland please go first.
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: No further comment, your
9	Honor. Thank you.
10	ALJ FORTUNE: You're welcome.
11	All right. Mr. Ripley?
12	MR. RIPLEY: Yes, your Honor. I I just have
13	one clarification question about the report at at the
14	end of these phases. Can you describe a little bit
15	about more in detail about what you're looking for
16	whether that is going to be filed in our complaint
17	proceedings, is it going to be joint, or is it only
18	going to come from SMART?
19	ALJ FORTUNE: I think it should be joint and
20	filed to by, you know on all parties to the
21	proceeding under the complaint. This is all this is
22	happening in the context of the complaint.
23	When all the stages are completed, a decision
24	will be rendered. I mean, I think this is a great
25	process, and I want to commend SMART for having

developed it, for just trying to use it. There might be 1 2 other things that come up that other parties that want a 3 private crossing that, you know, we can use this as a model for. 4 5 So, I am actually very excited to have us move along and investigate what this will all entail and get 6 7 an idea of real-world timelines for getting this --8 applying some of the elements of a public crossing to 9 this private crossing request. So, yes, I believe that joint filings at the 10 end of each stage would be fine and definitely to the 11 entire service list -- distribution to the entire 12 13 service list. 14 MR. RIPLEY: That -- that sounds imminently 15 reasonable, your Honor. That is what we would prefer 16 anyway, so, thank you. 17 ALJ FORTUNE: All right. Well, I thank everyone for participating in the status conference 18 19 today. A new ruling vacating the July 8th ruling will 20 come out shortly, and I am hopeful that we can conclude 21 this by the end of the calendar year; and we will adjust 22 the -- the statutory deadline as needed to make sure 23 that both sides have enough time to address their needs, 24 and that this -- this process is fair to both sides and 25 moves forward.

1	Thank you very much. We are adjourned.
2	(At the hour of 10:53 a.m., this matter
3	having been concluded, the Commission then
4	adjourned.)
5	
6	* * * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, ASHLEIGH BUTTON, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 14013, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON FEBRUARY 23, 2023.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS APRIL 21, 2023.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Xulian But
21	ASHLEIGH BUTTON CSR NO. 14013
22	CSK NO. 14013
23	
24	
25	

1	A	<b>aligns</b> 28:19 <b>ALJ</b> 3:8,11,14,16,18,23	attached 16:17 attendances 3:3
<b>1</b> 11:14 30:11,12	<b>a.m.</b> 2:2,6,11	4:8,13 6:12,17,24 7:1,2, 3,9,17,20 8:4,21 9:10	attorney 3:22
<b>10:05</b> 2:2	absolutely 12:13 23:12	10:2,5,13,16,18 11:6	<b>audio</b> 2:22,23
<b>10:06</b> 2:6,11	<b>AC</b> 4:13 5:5 7:1,3,9	12:2,4,6,7,23 13:3,7,18 14:9,13 15:1,12,14	<b>avail</b> 12:15 14:1
<b>13</b> 4:21 16:16	12:2,4,7,23 13:3,7,18	16:2,7,9,10,20 17:3,8,	availed 14:20 16:14,25
<b>1st</b> 9:22	14:9,13 15:1,12,14 16:2,20 17:3,8,10,19,22 18:21	10,19,22 18:12,14 19:7 20:13,15,18 21:11,24 22:5,14,16,20,25 23:4,	Avoid 2:20 aware 11:3 16:18 17:5
2	accept 25:8	13,16,19,23 24:2 25:6,	
<b>2</b> 4:18 8:5,15 9:18,23	<b>acceptable</b> 24:6 28:10, 13,23	13,15,17 26:16,25 27:8 28:1,16,21,24 29:6,9,21 30:4	В
10:1 19:17,23 21:12 22:25 23:23 24:11 30:9,	access 7:11 9:4	allegations 11:24	<b>back</b> 9:18 10:20 11:2 15:22 17:23 20:3 21:11
14	accompanying 4:23	allotted 30:15	14,18 23:7 25:7,23
<b>2021</b> 16:3	accurate 17:3 18:2	Alternative 6:1	based 7:15
<b>2022</b> 4:8,21 15:19,20 16:16 20:20	accurately 2:19	<b>analysis</b> 5:1 8:16 10:1	begin 3:23
<b>2023</b> 2:2 4:18 7:15	achieved 9:9	19:22	beginning 16:23
<b>23</b> 2:2	acknowledge 2:5	apparent 16:15	behalf 3:22 24:13
<b>23 2</b> .2 <b>23rd</b> 2:11 9:20	acknowledged 14:23	applica 14:14	beneficial 17:24
2nd 10:7	active 8:23 22:3,6	applicant 20:6	benefit 10:14
<b>2110</b> 10.7	activities 4:19 30:24	applicants 19:20	Bill 3:10 20:1 21:19
3	activity 18:15	<b>application</b> 4:9,24,25 5:8,11,14,19,22 6:6,20	<b>bit</b> 8:4 10:6,9 19:23
<b>3</b> 5:19 26:1 29:11,12,22 30:5,17,19	<b>additional</b> 5:14 11:11, 17 21:8 24:17,21	8:1,13,14 10:20,23 12:16,19 15:19,22	25:25 28:20 board 27:21,24 28:17
30.3,17,19	<b>address</b> 2:17 6:20 13:10 15:15 16:5	16:12,17 18:19 20:4 21:21 26:5,12 30:8	29:15 <b>broad</b> 8:15
4	administrative 2:4,8	applications 22:2	
<b>4</b> 27:10 29:16 30:22	ADR 6:1	apply 13:1 18:7 26:11	<b>budget</b> 19:25 20:2 22:11
	agree 21:10 24:10	approach 15:2 30:1	<b>build</b> 12:10
5	28:19	<b>approval</b> 27:22 29:15	building 29:5
<b>5</b> 28:25 29:17,23 30:21	agreeable 30:7	approve 27:24	С
, 	agreed 27:15,20 30:9	Area 2:9	
7	<b>agreement</b> 5:2,24 12:22 13:2 27:11,19,25	arguments 17:11	<b>C.21-11-016.</b> 2:8
<b>75-D</b> 12:17	28:9,19	assess 30:20	calendar 7:12 9:19
<b>7537</b> 12:17	agreements 27:17	<b>assigned</b> 2:7 14:16	30:25
	30:23	<b>Assistant</b> 7:3 12:4,6 13:19	camera 7:4,10 canceled 15:21
8	<b>ahead</b> 3:14 4:3 7:22,25 12:6 18:23 19:1,11,21	assume 13:9	capture 2:19
<b>8</b> 4:8	20:15 28:16	assuming 27:23 29:13	care 3:3 7:21
	<b>align</b> 26:22	30:11	caveat 28:14

Index: 1..caveat

challenging 9:7 29:3	complicated 8:21 9:2, 15 24:16	<b>current</b> 4:5 10:7	discovered 16:13
characteristics 27:16	comply 4:7		<b>discuss</b> 3:25 8:11 26:10,19
<b>Chief</b> 3:12,15 7:3 12:4, 6 13:19 20:1	concern 15:2		discussion 3:24
citations 18:18	concerned 11:21	<b>date</b> 9:23	discussions 4:5
city 26:6	conclusion 11:7	deadline 4:14,17,18 10:7 11:14	dismiss 5:9
clarity 14:8	concurrent 12:20	<b>December</b> 15:24	dismissing 18:25
clear 19:3	conducted 2:15	decided 18:21	<b>Division</b> 4:13 5:6,18
clients 27:4 28:10	<b>confer</b> 29:11	decision 4:7,16 5:23	26:8,13,18
29:25	conference 2:12 6:3	declaratively 16:11	documentation 5:1
<b>close</b> 11:14	20:19 24:15 30:5,20	declined 15:25	draft 27:20
closely 26:14	confirm 8:7 20:22	<b>defer</b> 25:11	drawings 20:11
Colbert 4:13 5:5 7:1,3,	consistent 18:2	denied 18:1	
4,9 12:2,4,5,7,23 13:3, 7,18 14:9,13 15:1,12,14	construction 5:3 29:4	dependencies 9:2	<b>E</b>
16:2,20 17:3,8,10,19,22	30:21	depending 28:18	<b>e-mails</b> 7:15
18:21	consulting 5:5 18:20	describe 29:1	earbuds 2:21
collect 7:24 comment 27:2	context 13:4 18:23 control 10:22 20:8	description 21:21	<b>earlier</b> 18:15 20:19 23:5
Commission 4:2 5:13	controlling 22:15	design 5:21 22:8	easements 9:5
12:12 13:21	conversations 21:6	designs 27:17	efficiently 30:14
Commission's 5:18 6:1	convey 20:11	desired 8:17	emphasize 4:1
commit 22:12	cooperate 12:10	detail 21:1	engineer 3:12,15 20
committed 4:2	cooperative 14:17	detailed 20:4,10 22:10	26:6
communicated 19:12	18:3	<b>details</b> 20:7,12 21:4,15 24:25 29:13	<b>engineering</b> 6:4,19 20:11 21:15 22:8 27:
company 15:4	<b>copy</b> 16:17	determine 29:22	enlighten 13:12
complainant 14:20,21	<b>correct</b> 10:22 22:18,19 23:12,19		ensure 2:22 5:20
17:14 18:10 19:3	Counsel 17:8	<b>develop</b> 5:10,17 7:25 20:6,7	envisioned 20:6
complainants 10:21	court 2:19 10:14	developed 4:11,24	envisioning 23:11
complaint 2:8 4:3,10,	<b>CPUC</b> 12:18 26:13	18:22 19:2,15	essentially 4:6
20 5:9,10 12:1,20 14:2, 3,6,7,15,22 16:2,3,23	critical 2:16	developing 11:10	establish 19:3 21:17
17:15 18:16,23,25	crosses 8:18	device 8:24	establishing 18:24
19:20 30:25	crossing 4:9,24 5:9,11,	<b>diagnostic</b> 5:2 25:18 26:1,3 27:9 29:12 30:17	estimate 29:16
complaints 13:19	19,21 6:5 8:1,6,19,22	difficult 10:25	<b>estimated</b> 25:19 30:
complete 15:23	9:4,6,16 12:11 19:23 20:5 21:1,3,16,22,23	dig 8:16	estimates 10:24
<b>completed</b> 5:23 9:24 23:21 24:8	22:1,4 24:17 26:5,10,	directed 18:19	estimates 10.24
completing 30:10	12,20 27:15 29:14	directing 4:8 5:8,12	everybody's 26:22
	crossings 9:2 22:7	unecing 4.0 5.0, 12	everybody 5 20:22

<u>,                                      </u>			
<b>exact</b> 20:20	21,24 29:6,9,21 30:4	<b>hey</b> 11:12	<b>issue</b> 9:15 15:14 16:5 25:24
<b>excuse</b> 2:6 24:3	forward 4:3,12 5:7 17:14	<b>Honor</b> 3:10 6:10,15 7:1, 5 10:11 12:2,13 13:6,	issues 7:18,21 13:11
exhibits 4:22	found 15:5,11	14,22 14:12,24 15:7,17	15:15
<b>existing</b> 13:9 14:22	full 3:1 6:24	16:6 17:2,4,18,21 18:5 19:6 20:23 21:19 24:12	
expect 24:6		25:10 27:7 28:13,14	J
<b>explain</b> 8:4 25:25	fully 22:3,6	29:20 30:2	<b>Jessica</b> 3:6 10:15
<b>extend</b> 23:24 25:2,3	function 21:22	<b>Honor's</b> 13:15	judge 2:4,8 13:19 14:15
<b>extended</b> 4:14,19	future 29:8		16:20
11:15 15:3 22:21,22 23:16 28:4 30:19	G		<b>judges</b> 13:15
<b>extension</b> 5:16 24:11		<b>idea</b> 17:6	July 4:8
25:9 28:9 30:16	<b>G-A-M-L-E-N</b> 20:17	identified 8:14	juncture 10:12 16:1
	<b>Gamlen</b> 3:10 6:22,24 8:9,25 9:25 10:3 19:25	identify 2:25 9:17	29:4
F	20:1,14,15,17 21:12,19,	10:13	<b>June</b> 4:21 16:16
farther 29:8	25 22:6,15,19,24 23:1, 12,14,17,20 24:1,3	ignore 12:11	jurisdiction 11:25
father 26:4	25:11,14,15,16,21 26:3,	implemented 13:9	K
February 2:2,11 15:18	17 27:1,12,14 28:7,14, 16,17,23,25 29:3,7,19	inappropriate 18:18	
figure 22:8	general 12:17 27:21	incident 4:10	kind 11:22 21:1,6
file 4:8 5:8,12 14:2,6	get all 24:21	include 4:25 26:17	<b>kinds</b> 13:11
15:19 18:19	giving 24:7	included 4:22 5:20	
<b>filed</b> 4:21 14:15 16:2,3,		incomplete 15:22	L
16 19:12,21	good 3:6 17:6 20:4	increased 24:18	launch 9:21
filing 14:3,21	Great 6:12,17 20:18	individually 2:18	law 2:4,8
final 4:16	ground 2:14	information 7:24 8:12	letting 24:4
finalizing 27:19	groups 22:2	19:20 21:2,9 23:22 24:7,20	license 5:2,3 27:19
financial 14:5	guess 11:20 23:14,17	initial 14:14,15 17:25	licensing 27:11 28:8
find 24:25	guide 4:5	initially 18:6	30:23
fine 17:24 25:14,16,17	Н	input 4:12	lined 9:8
firsthand 26:20		intention 12:18	link 7:13
five-stage 4:24	happen 11:18 22:10	intermediate 20:2,9	listening 6:16
<b>follow</b> 5:11 19:4	23:22	internal 4:23 15:25	litigation 14:6
forthcoming 24:7	happened 20:19	16:12,19,25 17:6,11,12,	location 8:20 20:12
Fortune 2:4,7 3:8,11,	happening 19:5,11	13,16,20 18:21 30:8	22:9
14,16,18,23 6:12,17,24 7:2,17,20 8:4,21 9:10	happy 8:11 21:5	introduce 3:4,19	locations 20:21
10:2,5,13,16,18 11:6	Hazlyn 2:7	invite 26:13	<b>long</b> 10:6 19:18,24 21:7 22:12 25:4
12:6 14:15 16:7,9,10,20 18:12,14 19:7 20:13,15,	headphones 2:21	involve 10:20	
18 21:11,24 22:5,14,16,	hear 19:7 26:19	involved 5:18 25:23	longer 28:20
20,25 23:4,13,16,19,23 24:2 25:6,13,15,17	hearing 2:6,15 11:7 hesitant 22:12	issuance 5:3	loss 18:8 lot 9:1 21:25 22:10

Index: exact..lot

		period 11:18 15:3	11,12,13,16,20 18:11,
M	N	person 6:21	22 19:2,4,14,18,21 20:9 22:13 24:24 29:8 30:8
made 11:4,25 16:15,18	needed 4:19 5:14 8:7,	perspective 16:21	promptly 15:22 21:9
maintain 9:5	23,24 9:14 11:11,15,17 19:22 21:13 23:25 24:7,	<b>petition</b> 12:17,18 28:3	property 12:14,21,25
make 5:15 11:11	11 25:9 28:5,9 29:1,15	<b>PG&amp;E</b> 9:3	14:1 26:18
making 17:12,22,25	30:16,18	<b>phase</b> 9:23 10:1	<b>proposal</b> 4:4,11 5:6 11:1,4 18:16
management 4:13 5:6	note 21:2	phases 9:11	proposed 20:20,21
manager 27:21	number 4:25 5:1,2,3	<b>PHC</b> 4:21,23 16:16	23:1
manner 24:9	0	physical 27:15	proposing 26:11
March 9:22 30:11,12		place 2:12 8:19 27:17	protections 8:19
Marin 2:9	object 15:8	plaintiff 15:18	<b>provide</b> 8:12 21:8
materials 20:20	October 4:18 10:6,7 11:14 15:24	plaintiff's 16:13	24:19
matter 13:16 18:13	officer 26:7	plaintiffs 16:14,25	provided 21:3
26:21 27:18,24	opening 5:4	<b>plans</b> 20:7,10	public 13:20 21:23
<b>matters</b> 13:20 15:4 26:14		<b>plays</b> 11:5,22	26:4,6,7
means 19:14	opinion 19:19	<b>point</b> 14:18 15:6 16:24	PUC 18:8
measures 5:20	option 19:1	17:20,25 20:25 25:3	pursuant 4:7
meet 29:22	order 12:17 30:14	potential 6:4	<b>put</b> 8:19 9:25 11:16 13:10 23:14 28:14 29:4
meeting 15:20	original 24:14	potentially 14:4	putting 9:6
•	oversee 13:19 15:3	power 22:9	
meets 28:17	owner 12:14,21,25 14:1	precedent 11:22	Q
member 6:4	owners 26:18	prefer 24:22	auglify 0.05
mentioned 6:18 8:5 10:23	Р	prehearing 20:19	qualify 8:25
mischaracterization		preliminary 5:1 8:16 10:1 19:22	quality 2:22
16:22	<b>p.m.</b> 2:6	prepared 8:10	question 10:12,17 13:23
month 10:3,5 11:13	panelist 7:6,10,14	• •	questions 2:18 6:7,9,
25:19 26:23 27:8,25 28:8,17,18,20 30:18	part 8:15 20:8	previous 6:3 30:12	13,20 8:12 9:4
months 10:4,5,9 19:17,	participants 7:21	<b>private</b> 4:9,24 5:9,11, 19,22 6:5 7:25 12:21,25	quickly 30:14
23 23:2,3,8,9,24 24:11,	participate 6:1 13:25	14:1 16:12 26:11,12	
21,22,23 25:4,9 28:22 30:10,15,23	parties 5:24 21:25 26:4	30:8	R
morning 3:6	27:20	proceed 15:25 18:11	<b>Rail</b> 4:12 5:5,18 26:8,
move 4:11 5:7 7:22	password 7:6,14	proceeding 2:1,13 4:1, 3,6,12,15,17 12:20 14:8	13,17
17:14 18:23 19:10,14	past 12:15	15:10	railroad 26:9,15
23:10 25:18 27:10 28:6 30:14	path 18:20	process 4:25 5:11,14,	<b>raise</b> 15:12
	pathway 4:9	19,23,25 6:2,6,20 8:1 10:20 11:23 12:16,17,	range 10:1 11:9 22:21,
moving 4.3 7.25 10.1	pending 29:15	19 13:5,9,10,25 14:7,9,	22,25 23:9 24:18 25:5
<b>moving</b> 4:3 7:25 19:1, 14,21	perfectly 20:24 21:5	14,19,20,22 15:15,25	29:23

Index: made..ranges

Rapid 2:9 representatives 16:14 schedules 26:22 **sounds** 21:22 24:14,17 26:5,8,9,19,20 25:10,12 30:2 reached 18:9 19:10 seek 13:1 represented 28:7 spacing 24:3 reaching 15:18 seeking 14:8 **speak** 2:17,24,25 3:13 representing 3:5,20 readily 21:9 sense 9:1 6:21 27:12 request 5:15 11:12 ready 6:19,21 service 9:3 15:21 speakerphone 2:23 realize 23:6 set 14:10 22:23 29:11 requested 11:18 **speaking** 2:20,24 30:21 16:10 18:14 reason 17:14 22:21 require 9:5 **sets** 11:22 reasonable 4:15 9:12 **specific** 21:15 25:1 requirement 17:5 11:16 20:24 21:4,17 setting 14:10 22:17,20 specifics 20:5 23:9 25:11,12,21 26:2 resolution 4:16 6:2 29:22 **spell** 6:25 20:16 27:3,6 29:2,10,17,19, resolve 4:10 7:18 **shape** 8:18 23,24 30:1,3 16:24 18:3,20 spelling 3:1 sharing 4:4 received 7:7 8:13 **spirit** 12:19 resolving 30:24 shortened 9:16 recommend 5:25 respond 11:3 20:21 **spoke** 23:5 shorter 11:18 23:14 reconsider 19:17 responded 13:23 **spot** 27:14 showing 15:2 record 2:5 7:7,17,19, staff 5:18 6:4,19 18:21 responding 13:14 20,24 8:2,3,5,9 13:13 sides 30:7,9,12 25:22 15:8 response 9:1 similar 21:22 **stage** 5:13,15,19,24 6:5 recorded 2:16 responsibility 14:5 simple 8:6 8:5,15 9:11,12,18,23 **reduce** 23:24 review 5:2 25:18 26:1,3 11:10,16 19:17,18,23 simpler 9:16 27:9 29:12 30:6,17 21:12,18 23:10,23 24:8, **Reed** 3:21 20:23 24:12 11 25:9,19,20,24 26:1, site 26:23 27:5,6 28:12 revised 4:16 2,24 27:13 28:24,25 **size** 22:2 Reis 2:9 3:22 19:8 29:11,12,17,22 30:5,9, revisit 29:7 10,14,17,18,19,21,22 sketches 20:11 **Reises** 4:21 16:16 **Rip** 27:5 20:24 21:14 22:11 24:6, stages 5:22 28:3 30:8 slowly 2:17 Ripley 3:18,21 6:9,10 13,19,24 25:24 **stakes** 24:19 7:4,5,12 20:21,23,24 **SMART** 2:10 3:7,15 related 30:23 24:5,12 25:6 27:2,5,6 4:23 5:8,12,15 10:15 **start** 8:10 9:22 21:12 28:7,12 29:24 30:2 11:24 12:9,21 13:2,4,8, remaining 30:7 30:11,12 24 14:4,16,18 15:9 role 13:16 started 7:6 render 11:25 16:4,9,11,22 17:5,10 **RSD** 25:22 18:2,7,8,17 19:9 20:1 rendered 5:23 **starting** 2:5 22:3 21:20 25:7 26:20 **rules** 2:14 **state** 16:11 repeat 2:18 **SMART's** 4:7 5:11.19. ruling 4:8 5:8 11:7 stated 10:8 12:15 18:15 report 5:12 9:17 23:20 22 6:4 7:25 10:19 12:19 25:2 30:8 runs 12:20 **statement** 4:22,23 reported 11:19 solutions 8:18 16:16 S statements 2:20 reporter 2:16,19 Sonoma 2:9 sort 10:20 11:21,25 **states** 4:25 reporter's 10:14 **safety** 4:12 5:6,18,20 14:6 26:7,8,10,13,18 27:16 reports 23:18 stating 3:1 29:14 sorted 21:5 **status** 2:12 4:5 6:3 represent 3:7 schedule 5:10,16 6:7 sorting 24:25 23:18 24:15 30:5,20 representative 6:19 7:25 18:25 21:10 30:7 19:8 25:7 26:7 sought 18:1 **statutory** 4:14,17,18 scheduled 15:20 10:7 11:14

stay 11:13	thought 7:9	understand 8:17 14:21 15:6 23:6	<b>worked</b> 15:19 29:13 30:6
<b>step</b> 14:16 20:9 22:25 23:21 27:10 29:11,16, 23	<b>time</b> 2:12,25 4:15 5:14 6:11,14,15 9:16,25 10:24 11:11,17 13:7,8,	understanding 7:14 10:10 14:17 18:14 20:5	<b>working</b> 7:8,16 9:18
steps 3:25 20:3 22:15	16 14:11,13 15:3,9 20:8 21:7 22:11,12 24:17,19	23:15 28:2,6	works 26:6
<b>strike</b> 15:8 18:1	26:2 28:4,5 29:5,11,22,	unmuted 27:5	wrote 15:22,23
strongly 5:25	23 30:15	unnecessary 17:15	
submitted 20:20 30:13	<b>timeframe</b> 9:9 19:4 21:17 23:15 24:16 28:9	unsure 11:23	Υ
substantiated 30:19	29:2,4,17	upgraded 7:10	year 30:25
substantiation 28:4	timeframes 9:6	Utilities 13:20	<b>,</b>
<b>sufficient</b> 4:15 26:24 27:25 28:8	<b>timeline</b> 6:5 8:8,11 9:12 27:3 30:21	V	
suggest 9:25 21:3	timelines 10:22	<b>vacate</b> 5:7 18:21	
suggested 22:18	timely 24:8	vacated 11:8	
support 4:19	<b>times</b> 18:10	vacates 18:16	
supporting 5:15	timing 27:13 30:11	versus 2:9	
supports 4:16	today 3:5,10,25 5:17	video 7:18	
survey 22:8	8:11 9:20 11:2,4,5,6	VIRTUAL 2:1	
<b>suspended</b> 4:7 18:16	today's 11:1	virtually 2:15	
Sutherland 3:4,6,7,9,	tone 15:1	visit 26:23	
12,15,17 6:13,15,18,22 10:11,15,17,19 11:20	traffic 26:6	voluntarily 13:1,5,24	
12:3,7,13,25 13:6,13,	Transit 2:9	voluntary 10:19 11:23	
18,22 14:11,24 15:7,13, 17 16:6,8,21 17:2,4,9,	transportation 13:20 treatments 26:10	12:8,24 13:3	
18,21 18:5 19:6 25:8,10	27:16		
	true 8:24		
<u>'</u>	<b>truth</b> 18:12	<b>wait</b> 29:18	
takes 22:11	Turned 15:21	waiting 23:22	
talk 19:24	<b>type</b> 21:3	<b>walk</b> 12:11	
talked 24:14	typically 26:4	wanted 20:22	
talking 19:16 24:18		warning 8:23	
30:12	U	<b>week</b> 28:18	
telemetry 8:7,23 telephone 7:8	<b>Uh-huh</b> 21:24	<b>weeks</b> 8:6,22 9:14 10:8 23:5 24:15	
terms 27:13	unable 5:24	word 12:23	
thing 11:8	unacceptable 23:3	work 6:7 12:22 22:10	
things 9:3,6 11:18	unaware 19:9	26:14 28:11	
27:23 28:2	unclear 14:7	workable 11:17	
third-party 9:1	uncooperative 15:5,9, 11 18:9	workaround 14:4	

Index: stay..year